

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/868,871	06/05/2001	Jan Malik	153-5916/PCT	5113	
324	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
CIBA SPE	CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			YOON, TAE H	
	EPARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005			- THER NOMBER	
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			1714 DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/868,871	MALIK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tae H. Yoon	1714

	Tae H. Yoon	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanations and some structure of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-		
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a):		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is natural. As a surface to the surface of the	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		·	•
see attachment. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Twee Il	102,
	_	Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant asserts that the previously submitted Rule 1.132 Declaration has probative value, but the examiner disagrees with following reasons;

- 1. Again, comparison must be based on the closest prior art (DE), and thus the additional component of DE must be present in the inventive example (Sample 3). Also, contrary to applicant's assertion, the instantly recited "comprising" permits the presence of other components and the claim is not limited to three-component system. Thus, the Sample 3 must contain TNPP of DE.
- 2. Sandostab P-EPQ used in Sample 3 is not claimed since it is a mixture of three compounds. Applicant asserts unexpected results, but the scope of claim is broader than the actual showing.
- 3. Also, it is unclear whether the asserted unexpected results are based on the higher amount of ATP or the omission of TNPP of DE. Again, note that the instantly recited "comprising" permits the presence of other components and the claim is not limited to three-component system. Thus, the Sample 3 must contain TNPP of DE.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 8 are directed to a stabilizer mixture and thus, any showing with polyethylene has little probative value.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/August 3, 2006